USSN: 10/067,698 Group No. 2835

Examiner: Gerald P. Tolin

Page 7

## **REMARKS**

Please cancel claims 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 21 without prejudice or disclaimer and amend claims 1 and 16 as indicated above.

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested in view of the foregoing amendment and the following remarks.


All of these amendments are made to bring the claims into allowance.

Claims 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12 and 21 have been canceled, and claims 1 and, 16 have been amended to overcome the rejection. Applicant submits that no new matter has been added.

## The 35 U.S.C. §102 Rejection

Claim 1 has been amended according to the suggestion of the Examiner. In line 1, "for" has been deleted. In line 6 after "portion", "in one of two positions" has been inserted. In line 9 after "portion", "in a first chassis portion" has been inserted. In lines 12 after "chassis", "in a second chassis position and the pivot portion still engages with the chassis" has been inserted.

The Examiner suggests replacing "does not engage" by "engages" in line 12. However, the Applicant does not understand how the Examiner finds support in the



Examiner: Gerald P. Tolin


Page 8

application as filed for such language. Instead, the Applicant has added the constraint "and the pivot portion still engages with the chassis" in line 12 in hopes of addressing the issue which the Examiner seems to have in mind. If the Applicant has misunderstood the Examiner, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned to discuss this matter.

In claim 16, the Applicant has added the constraint "is rotatable about an axis connected between the pivot posts". Matteson does not teach a bracket rotatable about an axis connected between pivot posts.

It is therefore the Applicant's belief that the amended claims 1-6, 13, 15 and 16-20 are now allowable.

Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider this application as amended. The Examiner is respectfully requested to enter this amendment as it either places the claims into condition for allowance or narrows the issues for appeal.



Examiner: Gerald P. Tolin

Page 9

**Patents** 

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required or credit overpayment to deposit account no. 12-0415. In particular, if this response is not timely filed, then the Commissioner is authorized to treat this response as including a petition to extend the time period pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136 (a) requesting an extension of time of the number of months necessary to make this response timely filed and the petition fee due in connection therewith may be charged to deposit account no. 12-0415.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile (telephone number 703-305-1341 to the Commissioner for

Respectfully submitted,

June 2, 2003

(Date of Deposit)

Richard P. Berg

(Name of Person Signing)

(Significance)

June 25, 2003

Richard P. Berg

Aftorney for Applicants

Reg. No. 28,145 LADAS & PARRY

5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2100

Los Angeles, California 90036

(323) 934-2300